Pellegrino, Rachel

From: Hickey, Toni
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2011 2:02 PM
To: Pellegrino, Rachel
Subject: FW: SMALL BUSINESS STUDY

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

-----Original Message-----
From: Hickey, Toni
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2011 2:02 PM
To: Morris, Craig; Brown, Brian (TM)
Cc: Cohn, Debbie; Marsh, Sharon
Subject: RE: SMALL BUSINESS STUDY

Hi Craig,

We plan to give the participants at Thursday's forum the opportunity to respond to the survey "on-site." Given that this group will have the opportunity to respond after today's deadline, I think we extend to Mr. Moseley the same courtesy and ask him to reply by Friday, February 11. Copying Debbie and Sharon for their thoughts.

Thanks,

Toni

-----Original Message-----
From: Morris, Craig
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2011 1:52 PM
To: Brown, Brian (TM); Hickey, Toni
Subject: SMALL BUSINESS STUDY

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2011 12:05 PM
To: TMFeedback
Subject: SMALL BUSINESS STUDY

Hello,
My name is Victor Moseley. I won a unanimous decision in the U.S. Supreme Court on March 4, 2003 (docket 01-1015) concerning the Federal Trademark Dilution Act. The lower Courts reversed that decision. I filed for Certiorari again (docket 10-604) and was denied Certiorari to the United States Supreme Court on January 18, 2011 concerning the Trademark Dilution Revision Act. I just found out about your survey in the last few days. I am on a strict deadline to file a motion for rehearing, and that being my priority, I would like to request additional time to respond to your request for comments. I have been in litigation for thirteen years and feel I can offer expert testimony on problems concerning much of the Lanham Act provisions. The Courts and big business ran me out of money, forcing me to file Pro Se thus I have gained a very wide knowledge of many of the problems for small business.

Please be so kind as to respond.

Sincerely,
Victor Moseley
(b)(6)